

Problem Set #5 Solutions

①

$$p \rightarrow e^+ \pi^0 \quad \text{Assume proton decays at rest.}$$

First we need to calculate the energy of the decay products. In a 2-body decay, the π^0 and e^+ get equal momentum. $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ with very short lifetime.

$$|\vec{p}_{\pi}| = |\vec{p}_{e^+}| = |\vec{p}|$$

$$\text{Mass-energy available is } m_p = \sqrt{|\vec{p}_{\pi}|^2 + m_{\pi}^2} + \sqrt{|\vec{p}_{e^+}|^2 + m_e^2}$$

$$m_p^2 + \cancel{p^2} + m_e^2 - 2m_p \sqrt{p^2 + m_e^2} = \cancel{p^2} + m_{\pi}^2$$

$$2m_p \sqrt{p^2 + m_e^2} = m_p^2 - m_{\pi}^2 + m_e^2$$

$$\sqrt{p^2 + m_e^2} = \frac{1}{2} \left(m_p - \frac{m_{\pi}^2}{m_p} + \frac{m_e^2}{m_p} \right)$$

$$p = \left[\frac{1}{4} \left(m_p - \frac{m_{\pi}^2}{m_p} + \frac{m_e^2}{m_p} \right)^2 - m_e^2 \right]^{1/2}$$

$$\text{Plugging in } m_p = 938.3 \frac{\text{MeV}}{c^2}, m_{\pi} = 135 \frac{\text{MeV}}{c^2}, m_e = 0.511 \frac{\text{MeV}}{c^2}$$

$$|\vec{p}| = |\vec{p}_{\pi}| = |\vec{p}_{e^+}| = 459 \text{ MeV}/c$$

At this energy, you'll get em showers (E_c in water $\sim 92 \text{ MeV}$) for both the positrons and the π^0 dk γ 's.

The 'peak' of a shower occurs at

$$t_{max} \sim \frac{\ln \frac{E_0}{E_c}}{\ln 2}, \quad \text{where } E_c \text{ is the critical energy at } E_0 \text{ is the incident energy}$$

(in radiation lengths)

Take the positron $E_0 \sim 460 \text{ MeV}$ (this energy is divided for the $\pi^0 \rightarrow 88 \text{ gammas}$)

$$t_{max} = \frac{\ln \frac{460}{92}}{\ln 2} = 2.3 X_0$$

X_0 in water: see PDG 2002 p. 199, eqn (26.20)

$$X_0 = \frac{716 \text{ g cm}^{-2} A}{Z(Z+1) \ln(287/\sqrt{Z})}$$

For a compound, $1/X_0 = \sum w_j / X_j$, where w_j is the fraction of each element by weight

For water, $X_0 \sim 36 \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ g}$; $\rho_{\text{water}} = 1 \text{ g/cm}^3$

$$\Rightarrow t_{max} \sim 83 \text{ cm}$$

There will be back-to-back showers, so to contain the showers detector will need to be at least a few meters wide, and more if you want some sort of reasonable fiducial 'target' volume. Let's say $\sim 5-10\text{m}$ on a side.

Many people estimated the Cherenkov photons

as follows: total track length of showers: $\sim 2m$

Cherenkov emission yields roughly 200 photons/cm
(Perkins p.56)

So expect $(200)(200) \sim 40000$ photons

However this isn't exactly correct, since individual particle tracks in the shower add up to more - still d's a lower limit (and actually gives not too crazy an answer)

Another way of estimating: expect 938 MeV of energy loss, total, from one pdk. Energy loss to Ch. light is going to be only a small fraction of this total \Rightarrow Perkins p.56, fraction is

$$\frac{\text{Ch.}}{10017} \sim \frac{400 \text{ eV/cm}^{-1}}{2 \text{ MeV/cm}^{-1}} \sim 2 \times 10^{-4}$$

So expect $\sim (2 \times 10^{-4}) 938 \sim 0.2 \text{ MeV}$ to go into Cherenkov light.

Photons of 400-700 nm have about 2eV apiece.

So 0.2 MeV represents $\sim 10^5$ photons
(off by a factor of 2 from above.)

We are told that optical transmission is 20%. (assume for relevant length scale, which is not in the problem statement) and Q.E. is 15%.

So if the surface were completely covered by photocathode, we'd get $(10^5)(0.2)(0.15) = 3000$ photons.

Assume energy resolution is dominated by p.e. statistics; for $\frac{N_{ph}}{\sqrt{N_{ph}}} \lesssim 10\%$ resolution, need ≥ 100 photons per event.

So the minimum required photocathode coverage is $\frac{100}{3000} \sim \underline{\underline{3\%}}$ (answers from a few to $\sim 15\%$; OK for reasonable assumption)

Note: Super-K I (before the accident) had $\sim 40\%$ photocathode coverage, required for sufficient resolution at \sim MeV energies for solar ν 's